Improving batch job scheduling with AI

AIRA Seminar, 19.01.2023



Hardware Acceleration Lab Bartosz Soból

Outline

- What is batch job (scheduling)
- Heuristics-based scheduling
- AI-based schedulers
- Possible improvements

What is a batch job?

- In HPC, batch job is a computing task described in a form of (batch) script
 - Usually a bash script
 - Contains additional directives describing required resources
 - Setups environment (environment variables)
 - Executes commands doing actual work
- Batch jobs are submitted by users (submission/login node)
- Schedulers decide when and where (computing node) they are run
- Most common method of interacting with computing cluster

Heuristics-based scheduling

- Typical job lifetime
 - a. Submitted by user
 - b. Assigned priority
 - Factors: age, size, fair-share, queue, user-controlled priority, etc.
 - c. Scheduled with backfilling and executed
- Priority factors can be configured and weightened
 - a. Lots of possible configurations, more factors increase complexity
- Job prioritization, factor selection and importance is a research topic itself
- Most popular schedulers: Slurm, PBS



Slurm

- Most popular job scheduler and workload manager
 - 60% TOP500 supercomputers in 2019
- Used in all Polish scientific supercomputers (PLGrid network)
- Complex cluster management system with user management, accounting, monitoring and other features



Slurm: example

sample_job.sh

- 1 #!/bin/bash
- 2 #SBATCH --nodes=1
- 3 #SBATCH --ntasks=1
- 4 #SBATCH --cpus-per-task=8
- 5 #SBATCH --mem=16G
- 6 #SBATCH --gres=gpu:1
- 7 #SBATCH --time=02:00:00
- 8 #SBATCH --partition=gpu-v100
- 9

10 module add python

11 module add tensorflow

12

13 python actual_task.py

[~]\$ sbatch -J test sample_job.sh
Submitted batch job 16846
[~]\$ squeue
JOBID PARTITION NAME USER ST TIME NODES NODELIST(REASON) 16846 gpu-v100 test user PD 0:00 1 (Priority)
[~]\$ sacct
JobID JobName Partition Account AllocCPUS State ExitCode 16846 test gpu-v100 testAcc 8 RUNNING 0:0

Reinforcement learning

- Agent: scheduler
- Environment: cluster, computing nodes with resources, queues, users, jobs
- Action: decision on job execution
- Possible reward factors for scheduling
 - Job waiting time
 - Utilization of reserved resources
 - Idle resources
 - \circ Job execution time



Ο

AI-supported scheduling

- Use reinforcement learning techniques
- Two approaches:
 - Standalone scheduler: Make AI-based decisions
 - Usage of more scheduling factors doesn't multiply configurable parameters
 - Black-box scheduling
 - Additional layer on top of existing scheduler:

Alter decisions of a classic scheduler underneath

- Well-known haurustics part is still there
- Can still benefit from additional factors and reinforcement learning
- Better explainability

Example: DRAS (Deep Reinforcement Agent for Scheduling)

- Uses the first approach standalone scheduler
- Takes cluster state and job queue as input
- Selects jobs to start execution
- Two neural networks
 - first select job for immediate execution, second directs backfilling
 - 22 to 162 million trainable parameters depending on cluster size
 - convolutional and fully-connected layers
- Two RL approaches tested: policy gradient and Q-learning
- Two job trace datasets: 121K and 2.5M jobs for training and evaluation

Example: DRAS (Deep Reinforcement Agent for Scheduling)

Architecture



Action: Ready, Reserved, Backfilled Jobs

Example: DRAS (Deep Reinforcement Agent for Scheduling)

- Evaluation and results:
 - Simulated environment
 - Tested against various simple scheduling policies
 - Not evaluated against complex systems as Slurm



- Lives on top of existing classic scheduler
- Analyses submitted job, other jobs in queue, live cluster status and other factors
- Can reject decisions of underneath scheduler
 - e.g. delay longer job in order to run more shorter jobs
- Very simple model design 2 MLPs with only ~2k parameters total
 - Actor-critic model
- Training:
 - Dataset: real accounting data (but from very old clusters)
 - Batches of 256 jobs

Architecture



Evaluation and results:

- Simulated simplified cluster environment
 - Assumption that runtime of the same does not change
- Tested against various simple scheduling policies
- And standard Slurm priority multifactor backfilling
- Performance measure average of $(max((w_i + e_j)/max(e_i, 10), 1))$ over 50 jobs -> lower is better



- As being independent from base scheduler, can be deployed gradually (compared to DRAS)
 - For specific types of jobs, only on certain queues, etc.
 - Simpler for administrators
 - Better explainability
 - Good as a first step for adoption AI in this application
- Current evaluation methods and training datasets are not ideal
 - Architectures of HPC clusters changed a lot
- Authors plan to integrate SchedInspector with Slurm
 - in real-life environment

Possible improvements

Training and evaluation methods

- Datasets should include job traces from modern clusters
 - Different cluster architectures and node types
 - Large multi-socket nodes
 - GPUs
- More realistic environment
 - Using real cluster for training and evaluation might be impossible
 - Simulated environments can be improved
 - Introduce random variance of execution time
 - Add I/O and network bottleneck simulation

Possible improvements

I/O requirements for job

- HPC systems usually use distributed filesystems (lustre) based on HDDs
 - Access to SSD drives is still limited even on newest systems
 - I/O-heavy jobs can execute many times longer if filesystem is busy
 - Often, delaying job (even for hours) can lead to lower queue+execution time
- I/O characteristics may be made a priority/scheduling factor
- How can scheduler know if jobs is I/O-heavy?
 - Additional #SBATCH-like directive limiting I/O
 - Complicated for users
 - Scheduler can learn from common jobs and filesystem characteristics

Possible improvements

Access to script content

- What if scheduler can *read* more parts of job script?
 - Software modules used
 - Commands to be executed
- Difficult to accomplish
 - Large model
 - Difficult to train for general usage
 - Privacy issues?

- 9
- 10 module add python
- 11 module add tensorflow

12

13 python actual_task.py

Summary

- Heuristics-based batch job scheduling methods and schedulers are used for many years, well established and understood
- AI-based solutions are emerging
 - Can take into account more factors
 - Open possibilities to provide better fine-tuned scheduling
 - Interesting topic and ongoing research from various groups
 - Real-life evaluation is necessary

Bibliography

- 1. Deep Reinforcement Agent for Scheduling in HPC Yuping Fan, Zhiling Lan, Taylor Childers, Paul Rich, William Allcock, Michael E. Papka <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.06243</u>
- 2. SchedInspector: A Batch Job Scheduling Inspector Using Reinforcement Learning Di Zhang, Dong Dai, Bing Xie https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3502181.3531470
- 3. RLScheduler: An Automated HPC Batch Job Scheduler Using Reinforcement Learning Di Zhang, Dong Dai, Youbiao He, Forrest Sheng Bao, Bing Xie <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.08925</u>